



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/700,140	02/05/2001	Tetsujiro Kondo	450101-02537	1959
20999	7590 11/30/2006	•	EXAMINER	
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG 745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL.			WHIPKEY, JASON T	
NEW YORK			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2622	•

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/700,140	KONDO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jason T. Whipkey	2622	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 1. cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		•	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 05 February 2001 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. e: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objecte drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	armier. Note the attached office	7.00.01 01 101111 10 102.	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	

Art Unit: 2622

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on November 6, 2006 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-14 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claims contain subject matter which was not described in the

Art Unit: 2622

specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

First, each independent claim recites (or has some variation of), "picture image conversion means for both eliminating distortion of the selected entirety or the portion of the picture image and for converting the selected entirety or portion into high quality picture image with increased resolution in a single step." The specification, however, describes (beginning on page 18) classification adaptive processing, which consists of two steps: classification processing and adaptive processing. There is no explicit or implicit disclosure of how an image can have distortion eliminated and resolution increased in a single step.

Second, each independent claim recites, "wherein the high quality picture has a higher quality than the selected entirety or the portion of the picture image whose distortion has been eliminated." Again, this feature is not enabled by the disclosure. This feature also conflicts with the "single step" limitation described above, since a "picture image whose distortion has been eliminated" can neither exist nor be compared to an image that is not of "a higher quality", because the invention eliminates distortion and a converts the image to a high-quality image <u>in a single step</u>. In other words, this limitation requires at least two steps:

Step 1. Eliminate distortion.

Step 2. Increase quality to produce an image that is of a quality higher than the image produced in step 1.

Claims 2, 3, 7-9, and 14 are rejected because they are dependent upon the non-enabling independent claims.

Art Unit: 2622

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 7. Claims 1, 4, 5, and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Addeo (U.S. Patent No. 5,280,540) in view of McNelley (U.S. Patent No. 5,438,357).

Regarding **claims 1, 4, 12, and 13**, Addeo discloses a picture providing apparatus (see figures 5 and 6) adapted to input distorted picture image (an image with a distorted aspect ratio is received; see column 7, lines 26-36) in which a predetermined range is collectively imaged from image pick-up means (camera 250) to provide the entirety of the picture image, the apparatus including:

Art Unit: 2622

memory means (input buffer 513) for storing the picture image inputted from the image pick-up means (see column 7, lines 37-52);

picture image conversion means for both eliminating distortion (the image is expanded to correct the aspect ratio; see column 7, lines 26-36) of the entirety of the picture image and for converting the entirety into high quality picture image with increased resolution (interpolation is performed to insert the pixels necessary to produce a full-sized image; see *id.*) in a single step (the single step is comprised of transferring image data to aspect ratio transformation circuit 510; see *id.*),

wherein the high quality picture has a higher quality than the selected entirety of the picture image whose distortion has been eliminated (a high-quality image of increased resolution results from the interpolation; see *id.*), and

wherein the single step operates on only the distorted image to both eliminate distortion and convert into a higher quality image with increased resolution (see *id.*).

Addeo is silent with regard to being able to select a portion of the image in accordance with a request from a picture image display unit.

McNelley discloses an teleconferencing system, including:

a predetermined range (the subject at which the camera is aimed) is collectively imaged from image pickup means to provide a portion of the picture image in accordance with request of a picture image display unit (the remote terminal requests a selected area from a local terminal, which manipulates the

Art Unit: 2622

captured image and transmits the selected area to the remote terminal; see column 9, line 63, through column 10, line 9);

communication means for receiving request for transmission of picture image from the picture image display unit and for transmitting the entirety or the portion of the picture image from which distortion has been eliminated to the picture image display unit (inherently present in order to handle the requests described above; see *id.*);

selector means for selecting the entirety or the portion of the picture image stored in the memory means in correspondence with the request that the communication means has received (see *id.*).

As suggested in column 9, line 63, through column 10, line 9, an advantage of such a configuration is that there is increased flexibility for the remote viewer, which allows him to select a satisfactory image. For this reason, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have Addeo's system allow a picture image display unit to select a portion of a complete image, as described by McNelley.

Claim 5 can be treated like claims 1 and 4. Additionally, Addeo discloses that his invention may be implemented using a microprocessor (see column 7, lines 47-58).

Microprocessors inherently execute a program in order to accomplish a task, and programs are inherently stored on some sort of medium.

Regarding claim 14, Addeo discloses:

wherein the high quality picture has a resolution higher than that before the converting (a high-quality image of increased resolution results from the interpolation; see column 7, lines 26-36). Art Unit: 2622

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason Whipkey, whose telephone number is (571) 272-7321. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 9:00 A.M. to 5:30 P.M. eastern daylight time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivek Srivastava, can be reached at (571) 272-7304. The fax phone number for the organization where this application is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

WIL WIL

November 27, 2006

VIVEK SRIVASTAVA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600